	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	09/440	,340	HINCE ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview dumin	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Debora	ah K. Ware	1651	
All Participants:	Statu	s of Application:		
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Tammy Kretschmer</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 27 May 2005	Time	:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's repl	resentative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: Not discussed				•
Claims discussed: Not discussed				
Prior art documents discussed: Not discussed				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NA	TURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The examinations are considered as seen and considered as seen	ner will provide a writt f the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	he substance
			٠	
(Framina/CDF Signature)	/A-1:!:	ntia Danna antatica O	ionatura if accer	into
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(ApplicanvApplica	nt's Representative S	ignature – it appr	opriate) .

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were informed that they need to indicate all claims on each amendment they submit whether they have been previously canceled or not so they have submitted a amendment via official fax in order to be in compliance with the rules and procedures at PTO so that their case can be put into condition for allowance. A copy of the amended claims faxed to the official fax line 571-273-8300, is attached hereto for review.